



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,862	12/12/2000	Stuart David Cheshire	04860P2467	6643
8791	7590 04/01/2004	EXAMINER		
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR	PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	2154	\sim
		·	DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	'd

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SIL

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	7		
Office Action Summary		09/735,8	362	CHESHIRE, STUART DAVID			
		Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Ashok B	. Patel	2154			
The MAILING Deriod for Reply	PATE of this commun	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess -		
A SHORTENED STATHE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the specification of th	OF THIS COMMUN vailable under the provisions the mailing date of this comr ad above is less than thirty (sified above, the maximum st t or extended period for reply ffice later than three months	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. s0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and a will, by statute, cause the apply statute, cause the apply statute.	vent, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) d	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communities NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status							
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) file	ed on .		•			
2a) ☐ This action is FI		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3) Since this applic	cation is in condition	for allowance excep	t for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the m	nerits is		
closed in accord	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is 4a) Of the above 5) □ Claim(s) <u></u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is 7) □ Claim(s) <u></u> 8) □ Claim(s) <u></u>	e claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. /are rejected. is/are objected to.	re withdrawn from co					
Application Papers							
9) The specification	is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) f	iled on is/are:	a) accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may no	t request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement draw				bjected to. See 37 CFR	• •		
		o by the Examiner. I	ote the attached Offic	e Action of form FTO-	152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. 12) Acknowledgmen		for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Son 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of application	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority copies of the priority the certified copies n from the Internatio	documents have been documents have been of the priority documnal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv	tion No ved in this National Sta	аде		
Attachment(s)		or a list of the celt	med cobies not receiv	cu.			
1) Notice of References Cite			4) Interview Summar				
Notice of Draftsperson's P Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date	itement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail (5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-15	(2)		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Application Number 09/735, 862 was filed on 12/12/2000. Claims 1-36 are subject to examination.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The characters used to identify the elements, display controller, processor, I/O controller and memory, on page 10, do not match with the characters used in Fig. 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 12-16, 18, 24-26, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vardi et al. (hereinafter Vardi)(US 6, 389, 127).

Referring to claims 1, 2 and 3,

The reference Vardi teaches a method comprising: receiving from a requester a request to access an information; (col. 5, lines 22-53). The reference teaches that if the link is down, the requester receives the status of the link. (col. 6, lines 6-32). The reference also teaches that the link where the requestor is attempting to request

Art Unit: 2154

information from can be a network address. (domain name). (col.5, lines 43-50). The reference also teaches that the link can have more than one identifiers (imposter domain names). and as such, the status of the link returned includes more than one identifiers (wherein the plurality of imposter responses comprise a plurality of imposter domain names.) while establishing the link. (col. 6, lines 6-46). The reference teaches that the user 10 is requesting the access to the terminal 22 which is identified by the domain name. (Fig. 1, element 22, col. 5, lines 22-53) (wherein the request is a request to access information about a second remote computer identified by a domain name.) wherein the plurality of imposter responses comprise a plurality of imposter domain names.)

Referring to claims 12 and 13

The reference teaches that the request is sent by using known internet protocols or by using any known messaging means, or may be sent via client software running on computer terminal. (col. 5, lines 25-33).

Referring to claim 14,

The reference teaches communications terminal employable by the seeking user (the request is initiated by) is a cellular telephone (a remote application program). (col. 2, lines 58-61).

Referring to claim 15,

The reference teaches that the server 16 and telephone status acquirer 20 may be housed within a single server. (col. 5, lines 66-67 and col. 6, line 1). (first remote computer is a server).

Art Unit: 2154

Referring to claim 16,

The reference teaches the link is a network connection. (Fig. 1, element "Internet").

Referring to claim 18,

The reference Vardi teaches a method comprising: receiving from a requester a request to access an information; (col. 5, lines 22-53). The reference teaches that the user 10 is requesting the access to the terminal 22 which is identified by the domain name. (Fig. 1, element 22, col. 5, lines 22-53).((receiving a domain name system (DNS) request initiated by an application program;)The reference teaches that if the link is down, the requester receives the status of the link.(col. 6, lines 6-32). (if no Internet connection has been established, precluding a user from receiving an error message by establishing a dial-up connection to the Internet). The reference also teaches that the link where the requestor is attempting to request information from can be a network address. (domain name). (col.5, lines 43-50). The reference also teaches that the link can have more than one identifiers (imposter domain names). and as such, the status of the link is returned includes more than one identifiers.(col. 6, lines 6-46). (while concurrently returning a plurality of imposter domain names until the dial-up Internet connection is established.)

Referring to claims 24, 25 and 26,

Claims 24, 25 and 26 are claims to a network host comprising a processor and a machine readable medium containing instructions which when executed by the processor cause the processor to perform operations of method steps of claims 1, 2,

Art Unit: 2154

and 3. Therefore, claims 24, 25 and 26 are rejected for the reasons set forth for the claims 1, 2 and 3.

Referring to claims 32, 33 and 34,

Claims 32, 33 and 34 are claims to a network host comprising a processor and a machine readable medium containing instructions which when executed by the processor cause the processor to perform operations of method steps of claims 12 and 13. Therefore, claims 32, 33 and 34 are rejected for the reasons set forth for the claims 12 and 13 and the reference Vardi's teaching of the request that can be sent by user using any known messaging means, or may be sent via client software running on computer terminal (web browser). (col. 5, lines 28-33).

Referring to claim 35,

Claim 35 is a claim to a network host comprising a processor and a machine readable medium containing instructions which when executed by the processor cause the processor to perform operations of method steps of claim 14. Therefore, claim 35 is rejected for the reasons set forth for the claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **4.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2154

5. Claims 4-11, 17, 27-31 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vardi et al. (hereinafter Vardi)(US 6, 389, 127) in view of Bhatia et al. (hereinafter Bhatia)(US 6, 023, 724)

Referring to claims 4 and 5,

Keeping in mind the teachings of reference Vardi as stated above, the reference Vardi teaches that the link can have more than one identifiers (imposter domain names) and as such, the status of the link is returned includes more than one identifiers (instructing the requester that the domain name is an alias for the current imposter domain name) while establishing the link. (col. 6, lines 6-46). The reference Vardi fails to teach appending a text string to the domain name to create a current imposter domain name that is one of the plurality of imposter domain names; and recursively receiving from the requester a plurality of requests to access information about a remote computer identified by one of the plurality of imposter domain names; and if the link remains down, continuing to establish the link, incrementing the current imposter domain name to form a next current imposter domain name of the plurality of imposter domain names, and instructing the requester that the current imposter domain name is an alias for the next current imposter domain name. The reference Bhatia teaches LAN modem and LAN modem server residing in the LAN modem has the capability to recognize the fault condition that affects a remote network connection and construct the web page informing the user of specific nature of the condition such that the user can take appropriate action such as re-transmitting a message.(col. 26, lines 11-25). Also the reference teaches that the LAN modern server has ISP wizard with the capability to

Art Unit: 2154

dynamically constructing successive web pages depending upon the predefined page components which will be substituted to produce a web page indicating the particular result which then occurred. (col.58, lines 35-67 and col. 59, lines 1-42). Thus, the reference teaches that the ISP wizard is capable of returning the status dynamically and progressively in an increment and in the form of web pages where re-transmitting is required by the user (requestor) until the connection is not complete. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combining Vardi's client software and Bhatia LAN modem such that the while the system continues to establish the link, the web pages (the plurality of imposter domain names) are presented to the requester (appending a text string to the domain name to create a current imposter domain name that is one of the plurality of imposter domain names;) where the requester is appropriately instructed to take action (and recursively receiving from the requester a plurality of requests to access information about a remote computer identified by one of the plurality of imposter domain names) until the connection is not complete (and if the link remains down, continuing to establish the link, incrementing the current imposter domain name to form a next current imposter domain name of the plurality of imposter domain names, and instructing the requester that the current imposter domain name is an alias for the next current imposter domain name.). Thus, in the event of a network fault or other condition that affects a connection to a remote server thereon, conventional routers do not indicate the specific nature of that fault to any local client connected to the router. This, in turn, relegates a user at that client to rely on an error message, in those instances when it is

Art Unit: 2154

provided by the network, that is often rather cryptic at best and more often simply not provided at all. In the latter situation, the user simply waits in basically total ignorance of the fault, i.e., the fault occurs but the user receives no indication of it on e.g., his(her) browser. Not only is the user annoyed by this type of fault handling, but also the user is forced to wait, owing to a lack of information which leads to an expectation (which later proves to be unwarranted) that the fault will resolve itself, which can be rather time-consuming and frustrating as taught by Bhatia.

Referring to claims 6, 7, 8 and 9,

Keeping in mind the teachings of Vardi as stated above, the reference also teaches that the link can have more than one identifiers (imposter domain names). and as such, the status of the link is returned includes more than one identifiers while establishing the link. (col. 6, lines 6-46). The reference teaches that the user 10 (requester) is requesting the access to the terminal 22 which is identified by the domain name. (Fig. 1, element 22, col. 1, lines 22-53). The reference also teaches that once user (requester) receives telephone number and other status information associated with user 12 (a second remote computer), or once it is stored in his client software (first remote computer), user (requester) may send a request to computer terminal 22(a second remote computer), typically via the Internet, for a telephone call to be placed from user 12 (a second remote computer), to user (requester). Client software residing in computer terminal 22 may automatically place a call to user 10 either immediately, or when the telephone line statuses of user 10 and/or user 12 become known to computer terminal 22. (col. 7, lines 39-48). Thus, the reference teaches that the status of the telephone line (where the

Art Unit: 2154

second remote computer can have more than one identifiers) is known (detecting that the link is established; and after the link is established, converting the current imposter domain name to the domain name; and informing the requester that the imposter domain name is an alias for the domain name), the user 10 (requester) can make a request through his client software (first remote computer) (receiving from the requester a second request to access the information after the link is established, after the link is established, forwarding the second request to the first remote computer; receiving a response to the second request; and forwarding the response: to the second request to the requester wherein the second request is a request to access information about the second remote computer identified by the domain name.)

Referring to claims 10 and 11,

Keeping in mind the teachings of Vardi as stated above, the reference fails to teach determining whether a maximum number of recursions has been exceeded, and if so, returning an appropriate error; and determining whether a maximum amount of time has been exceeded, and if so, returning an appropriate error. The reference Bhatia teaches the LAN modem server which has ISP wizard the capability to dynamically constructing successive web pages depending upon the predefined page components which will be substituted to produce a web page indicating the particular result which then occurred. (col.58, lines 35-67 and col. 59, lines 1-42). Thus, the reference teaches that the ISP wizard is capable of returning the status dynamically and progressively in an increment and in the form of web pages where re-transmitting is required by the user (requestor) until the connection is not complete. The reference also teaches that ISP wizard has

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/735,862

Art Unit: 2154

the software timer which operates on global variables, which is used to count the intervals and display a progress bar dots at a certain interval of time. (col. 59, lines 63-67). Thus, the reference teaches that the timer is used to display the status change of the ISP wizard within certain time period as wells as counting the number of interval/status changes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combining Vardi's client software and Bhatia LAN modem such that the software timer of its ISP wizard is used to count the intervals (determining whether a maximum number of recursions has been exceeded, and if so, returning an appropriate error;) and display a progress bar dots at a certain interval of time (determining whether a maximum amount of time has been exceeded. and if so, returning an appropriate error.). Thus, web server 412 in the LAN modem, recognizing this condition by reading current value of a global variable which reflects this particular fault, constructs and downloads a predefined web page to the workstation. This page, when displayed by a browser thereat, informs the user of the specific nature of that condition such that the user can then take appropriate action, such as, e.g., establishing a remote session to the network destination at a later time or simply re-transmitting a message as taught by Bhatia.

Referring to claim 17,

The reference Vardi teaches the User typically sends the request via a computer terminal connectable to a communications network such as the Internet, the request being sent using known Internet protocols. The reference also teaches the request may be sent by user using any known messaging means, or may be sent via client software

Art Unit: 2154

running on computer terminal (web browser). (col. 5, lines 28-33). The reference also teaches that the link can have more than one identifiers (plurality imposter domain names). and as such, the status of the link is returned includes more than one identifiers while establishing the link. (col. 6, lines 6-46).). the reference Vardi fails to teach construction of the temporary web page. The reference Bhatia teaches LAN modem and LAN modem server residing in the LAN modem has the capability to recognize the fault condition that affects a remote network connection and construct the web page informing the user of specific nature of the condition such that the user can take appropriate action such as re-transmitting a message.(col. 26, lines 11-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combining Vardi's client software and Bhatia LAN modem such that the while the system continues to establish the link, the temporary web page with is presented on web browser with plurality imposter responses where the requester is appropriately instructed to take action until the connection is not complete. Thus, in the event of a network fault or other condition that affects a connection to a remote server thereon, conventional routers do not indicate the specific nature of that fault to any local client connected to the router. This, in turn, relegates a user at that client to rely on an error message, in those instances when it is provided by the network, that is often rather cryptic at best and more often simply not provided at all. In the latter situation, the user simply waits in basically total ignorance of the fault, i.e., the fault occurs but the user receives no indication of it on e.g., his(her) browser. Not only is the user annoyed by this type of fault handling, but also the user is forced to wait, owing to a lack of

information which leads to an expectation (which later proves to be unwarranted) that

the fault will resolve itself, which can be rather time-consuming and frustrating as taught

by Bhatia.

Referring to claim 27 and 28,

Claims 27 and 28 are claims to a network host comprising a processor and a machine

readable medium containing instructions which when executed by the processor cause

the processor to perform operations of method steps of claims 4 and 5. Therefore,

claims 27 and 28 are rejected for the reasons set forth for the claims 4 and 5.

Referring to claims 29, 30 and 31,

Claims 29, 30 and 31 are claims to a network host comprising a processor and a

machine readable medium containing instructions which when executed by the

processor cause the processor to perform operations of method steps of claims 6, 7 and

8. Therefore, claims 29, 30 and 31 are rejected for the reasons set forth for the claims

6, 7 and 8.

Referring to claim 36.

Claim 36 is a claim to a network host comprising a processor and a machine readable

medium containing instructions which when executed by the processor cause the

processor to perform operations of method steps of claim 17. Therefore, Claim 36 is

rejected for the reasons set forth for the claim 17.

Claims 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6.

Vardi et al. (hereinafter Vardi)(US 6, 389, 127) and Bhatia et al. (hereinafter Bhatia)(US

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/735,862 Page 13

Art Unit: 2154

6, 023, 724) and further in view of IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin (TCB-ACC-NO:

NNRD427119)(hereinafter IBM).

Referring to claims 19 and 20,

Keeping in mind the teachings of reference Vardi as stated above, the reference Vardi teaches that the link can have more than one identifiers (imposter domain names), and as such, the status of the link returned includes more than one identifiers while establishing the link. (col. 6, lines 6-46). The reference Bhatia teaches LAN modem and LAN modem server residing in the LAN modem has the capability to recognize the fault condition that affects a remote network connection and construct the web page informing the user of specific nature of the condition such that the user can take appropriate action such as re-transmitting a message.(col. 26, lines 11-25). Also the reference teaches that the LAN modem server has ISP wizard the capability to dynamically constructing successive web pages depending upon the predefined page components which will be substituted to produce a web page indicating the particular result which then occurred. (col.58, lines 35-67 and col. 59, lines 1-42). Thus, the reference teaches that the ISP wizard is capable of returning the status dynamically and progressively in an increment and in the form of web pages where re-transmitting is required by the user (requestor) until the connection is not complete. (forming a current imposter domain name by appending an information to the domain name; and sending a response stating that the domain name is an alias for the current imposter domain name and while establishing the dial-up connection, recursively receiving from the application program a request to access information about a remote computer identified

Application/Control Number: 09/735,862 Page 14

Art Unit: 2154

by one of the plurality of imposter domain names; forming a next current imposter domain name of the plurality of imposter domain names by modifying a portion of the information of the current imposter domain name; and sending a response stating that the current imposter domain name is an alias for the next current imposter domain name.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combining Vardi's client software and Bhatia LAN modem such that the while the system continues to establish the link, the web pages (the plurality of imposter domain names) are presented to the requester where the requester is appropriately instructed to take action until the connection is not complete (forming a current imposter domain name by appending an information to the domain name; and sending a response stating that the domain name is an alias for the current imposter domain name and while establishing the dial-up connection, recursively receiving from the application program a request to access information about a remote computer identified by one of the plurality of imposter domain names; forming a next current imposter domain name of the plurality of imposter domain names by modifying a portion of the information of the current imposter domain name; and sending a response stating that the current imposter domain name is an alias for the next current imposter domain name). This informs the user of the status of the connection as taught by Bhatia. However, both of the references, Vardi and Bhatia, fail to teach the sending a canonical name response. The reference IBM teaches the process of canonicalization where it teaches that the most file systems will 'complete' a partial file or directory specification by using the current working directory information along with whatever

Art Unit: 2154

partial information is given. This process of creating a complete, syntactically correct specification (the canonical form) is sometimes referred to as 'canonicalization'. This canonical form is important, since it completely and uniquely identifies the file system resource, whether a file, directory or some other type of resource.(page 1, paragraph 1). Also, the reference IBM teaches that the canonicalization process itself results in a simpler implementation and superior performance, especially in a network environment. (page 2, paragraph 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combining Vardi's client software and Bhatia LAN modem such that the while the system continues to establish the link, with IBM's teachings such that the canonical responses are presented to the requester where the requester is appropriately instructed to take action until the connection is not complete. Thus, in the event of a network fault or other condition that affects a connection to a remote server thereon, conventional routers do not indicate the specific nature of that fault to any local client connected to the router. This, in turn, relegates a user at that client to rely on an error message, in those instances when it is provided by the network, that is often rather cryptic at best and more often simply not provided at all. In the latter situation, the user simply waits in basically total ignorance of the fault, i.e., the fault occurs but the user receives no indication of it on e.g., his(her) browser. Not only is the user annoyed by this type of fault handling, but also the user is forced to wait, owing to a lack of information which leads to an expectation (which later proves to be unwarranted) that the fault will resolve itself, which can be rather time-consuming and frustrating as taught by Bhatia.

Art Unit: 2154

Referring to claims 21, 22 and 23,

Keeping in mind the teachings of Vardi as stated above, the reference also teaches that the link can have more than identifiers (imposter domain names). and as such, the status of the link returned includes more than one identifiers while establishing the link. (col. 6, lines 6-46). The reference teaches that the user 10 (requester) is requesting the access to the terminal 22, which is identified by the domain name. (Fig. 1, element 22, col. 5, lines 22-53). The reference also teaches that once user (requester) receives telephone number and other status information associated with user 12 (remote computer), or once it is stored in his client software (server), user (requester) may send a request to computer terminal 22 (remote computer), typically via the Internet, for a telephone call to be placed from user 12 (remote computer), to user (requester). Client software residing in computer terminal 22 may automatically place a call to user 10 either immediately, or when the telephone line statuses of user 10 and/or user 12 become known to computer terminal 22. (col. 7, lines 39-48). Thus, the reference teaches that the status of the telephone line (where the remote computer can have more than one identifiers) is known (detecting that the dial-up connection established; and after the dial-up connection is established, converting the current imposter domain name to the domain name; and sending a response stating that the imposter domain name is an alias for the domain name), the user 10 (requester) can make a request through his client software (server) (receiving from the application program a second request to access information about the remote computer identified by the domain name after the dial-up connection is established, after the dial-

Art Unit: 2154

up connection is established, forwarding the second request to a server; receiving a response to the second request; and forwarding the response to the application program.) The reference Bhatia teaches LAN modem and LAN modem server residing in the LAN modem has the capability to recognize the fault condition that affects a remote network connection and construct the web page informing the user of specific nature of the condition such that the user can take appropriate action such as retransmitting a message (col. 26, lines 11-25). Both of the references, Vardi and Bhatia, fail to teach the sending a canonical name response. The reference IBM teaches the process of canonicalization where it teaches that the most file systems will 'complete' a partial file or directory specification by using the current working directory information along with whatever partial information is given. This process of creating a complete. syntactically correct specification (the canonical form) is sometimes referred to as 'canonicalization'. This canonical form is important, since it completely and uniquely identifies the file system resource, whether a file, directory or some other type of resource.(page 1, paragraph 1). Also, the reference IBM teaches that the canonicalization process itself results in a simpler implementation and superior performance, especially in a network environment. (page 2, paragraph 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combining Vardi's client software and Bhatia LAN modem such that the while the system continues to establish the link, according to IBM's teachings the canonical responses are presented to the requester where the requester is appropriately instructed to take action until the connection is not complete Thus, in the

Art Unit: 2154

event of a network fault or other condition that affects a connection to a remote server thereon, conventional routers do not indicate the specific nature of that fault to any local client connected to the router. This, in turn, relegates a user at that client to rely on an error message, in those instances when it is provided by the network, that is often rather cryptic at best and more often simply not provided at all. In the latter situation, the user simply waits in basically total ignorance of the fault, i.e., the fault occurs but the user receives no indication of it on e.g., his (her) browser. Not only is the user annoyed by this type of fault handling, but also the user is forced to wait, owing to a lack of information which leads to an expectation (which later proves to be unwarranted) that the fault will resolve itself, which can be rather time-consuming and frustrating as taught by Bhatia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok B. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 305-2655. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 19

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abp

JOHN FOLLANSBEE

JOHN FOLLANSBEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100